arXiv:1401.0441v1 [math.AP] 2 Jan 2014

A Fibering Map Approach for a Laplacian System With Sign-Changing Weight Function

SEYYED SADEGH KAZEMIPOOR*AND MAHBOOBEH ZAKERI

Department of Mathematical Analysis, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic.

Abstract. We prove the existence of at least two positive solutions for the Laplacian system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda a(x)|u|^{q-2}u + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}b(x)|u|^{\alpha-2}u|v|^{\beta} & \text{for } x \in \Omega, \\ -\Delta v = \lambda a(x)|v|^{q-2}v + \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}b(x)|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta-2}v & \text{for } x \in \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \qquad (E_\lambda)$$

On a bounded region Ω by using the Nehari manifold and the fibering maps associated with the Euler functional for the system.

1. Introduction

We shall discuss the existence of positive solutions of the Laplacian system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda a(x)|u|^{q-2}u + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}b(x)|u|^{\alpha-2}u|v|^{\beta} & \text{for } x \in \Omega, \\ -\Delta v = \lambda a(x)|v|^{q-2}v + \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}b(x)|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta-2}v & \text{for } x \in \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega \end{cases} \qquad (E_\lambda)$$

Where Ω is a bounded region with smooth boundary in $\mathbb{R}^N$.
$\alpha > 1,\ \beta > 1$ satisfying $\alpha + \beta < 2^*$ $(2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2} \quad if\ N > 2, \quad 2^* = \infty \quad if\ N \leq 2)$, $\lambda > 0$, $1 < q < 2$, $a \in L^{p^*}(\Omega)$ where $p^* = \frac{\alpha+\beta}{\alpha+\beta-q}$ and $a, b : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ are smooth functions which are somewhere positive but which may change sign on Ω.
Set $\alpha = \beta = \frac{p}{2}, u = v$. Then problem $(E_(\lambda))$ reduces to the semilinear scalar elliptic equations with concave-convex nonlineariities:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda f(x)|u|^{q-2}u + h(x)|u|^{p-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \qquad (E_(\lambda))$$

MSC[2010] : Primary 35J20; Secondary 35J50, 35J91.
Keywords : Laplacian system, Variational methods, Nehari manifold, fibering map, Sign-changing weight functions.

For $2 < p < 2^*$ and the weight functions $f \equiv h \equiv 1$, the authors Ambrosetti-Brezis-Cerami [4]have investigated Eq. $(E_(\lambda))$ in [4]. They found that there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that Eq. $(E_(\lambda))$ admits at least two positive solutions for $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$, has a positive solution for $\lambda = \lambda_0$ and no positive solution exists for $\lambda > \lambda_0$. For more general cases, we refer the reader to Afrouzi-Ala-Kazemipoor [1], Ambrosetti-Azorezo-Peral [3], de Figueiredo-Gossez-Ubilla [13], EL Hamidi [15] and Wu [25], etc. Recently, in [25] the author has considered a semilinear scalar elliptic equation involving concave-convex nonlinearities and sign-changing weight function, and showed multiplicity results with respect to the parameter λ via the extraction of Palais-Smale sequences in the Nehari manifold, where for the definition of Nehari manifolds we refer the reader to Nehari [18] or Willem [23].
For the semilinear elliptic systems with concave-convex nonlinearities, the authors Adriouch- EL Hamidi [5] considered the following problems:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha-2}u|v|^{\beta} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta v = \mu|v|^{q-2}v + \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta-2}v & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = v = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

They proved this system has at least two positive solutions when the pair of the parameters (λ, μ) belongs to a certain subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$. For more similar problems, we refer the reader to Ahammou [2], Alves-de Morais Filho-Souto [6], Bozhkov-Mitidieri [8], Clément-de Figueiredo-Mitidieri [11], de Figueiredo-Felmer [12], El Hamidi [16], Squassina [19] and vélin [22], etc.
By the above results we know that the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic problems depend on the nonlinearity term . Let S be the best Sobolev constant for the embedding of $H_0^1(\Omega)$ in $L^{\alpha+\beta}(\Omega)$. Then we have the following result.
In this paper, we give a very simple variational proof which is similar to proof of Brown-Wu (see [9]) to prove the existence of at least two positive solutions of system (E_λ) which is similar to the Wu system [26].
We shall throughout use the function space $W = W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \times W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ with norm

$$||(u, v)|| = (\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and the standard $L^p(\Omega) \times L^p(\Omega)$ spaces whose norms we denote by $||(u, v)||_p$.

2. Fibering Maps and The Nehari Manifold

The Euler functional associated with (E_λ) is

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u,v) &= \tfrac{1}{2}(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2dx) \\ &- \tfrac{\lambda}{q}(\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v|^q dx) \\ &- \tfrac{1}{\alpha+\beta}(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(u,v) \in W$.
As J_λ is not bounded below on W, it is useful to consider the functional on the Nehari manifold

$$M_\lambda(\Omega) = \{(u,v) \in W \ : \ \langle J_\lambda^{'}(u,v),(u,v)\rangle = 0\}$$

where $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ denotes the usual duality. Thus $(u,v) \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} &(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2dx) - \lambda(\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v|^q dx) \\ - \ &(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Clearly $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ is a much smaller set than W and, as we shall show, J_λ is much better behaved on $M_\lambda(\Omega)$. In particular, on $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u,v) &= (\tfrac{1}{2} - \tfrac{1}{q})(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2dx) \\ &+ (\tfrac{1}{q} - \tfrac{1}{\alpha+\beta})(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx) \\ &= (\tfrac{1}{2} - \tfrac{1}{\alpha+\beta})(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2dx) \\ &- \lambda(\tfrac{1}{q} - \tfrac{1}{\alpha+\beta})(\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v|^q dx). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Theorem 2.1. *J_λ is coercive and bounded below on $M_\lambda(\Omega)$.*

Proof. It follows from (2.2) and by the Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u,v) &= \tfrac{\alpha+\beta-2}{2(\alpha+\beta)}||(u,v)||_H^2 - (\tfrac{\alpha+\beta-q}{q(\alpha+\beta)})(\int_\Omega \lambda a(x)|u|^q + \int_\Omega \lambda|v|^q dx) \\ &\geq \tfrac{\alpha+\beta-2}{2(\alpha+\beta)}||(u,v)||_H^2 \\ &- S^q(\tfrac{\alpha+\beta-q}{q(\alpha+\beta)})((|\lambda|||a||_{L^{p^*}})^{\frac{2}{2-q}} + (|\lambda|||a||_{L^{p^*}})^{\frac{2}{2-q}})^{\frac{2-q}{2}}||(u,v)||_H^q \\ &\geq \tfrac{S^{\frac{2q}{2-q}}(q-2)(\alpha+\beta-q)^{\frac{2}{2-q}}}{2q(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+\beta-2)^{\frac{q}{2-q}}}((|\lambda|||a||_{L^{p^*}})^{\frac{2}{2-q}} + (|\lambda|||a||_{L^{p^*}})^{\frac{2}{2-q}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, J_λ is coercive on $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ and

$$J_\lambda(u,v) \geq \frac{S^{\frac{2q}{2-q}}(q-2)(\alpha+\beta-q)^{\frac{2}{2-q}}}{2q(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+\beta-2)^{\frac{q}{2-q}}}((|\lambda|||a||_{L^{p^*}})^{\frac{2}{2-q}} + (|\lambda|||a||_{L^{p^*}})^{\frac{2}{2-q}}).$$

This completes the proof. □

The Nehari manifold is closely linked to the behaviour of the functions of the form $\phi_{u,v} : t \to J_\lambda(tu, tv) \quad (t > 0)$. Such maps are known as fibering maps and were introduced by Drabek and Pohozaev in [14] and are also discussed in Brown and Zhang [10]. If $(u, v) \in W$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{u,v}(t) &= \tfrac{1}{2}t^2(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx) \\ &- \lambda\tfrac{t^q}{q}(\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v|^q dx) \\ &- \tfrac{t^{\alpha+\beta}}{\alpha+\beta}(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx) \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'_{u,v}(t) &= t(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx) \\ &- \lambda t^{q-1}(\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v|^q dx) \\ &- t^{\alpha+\beta-1}(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx) \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi''_{u,v}(t) &= (\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx) \\ &- (q-1)\lambda t^{q-2}(\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v|^q dx) \\ &- (\alpha+\beta-1)t^{(\alpha+\beta-2)}(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

It is easy to see that $(u, v) \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$ if and only if $\phi'_{u,v}(1) = 0$ and, more generally, that $\phi'_{u,v}(t) = 0$ if and only if $(tu, tv) \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$, i.e., elements in $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ correspond to stationary points of fibering maps. Thus it is natural to subdivide $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ into sets corresponding to local minima, local maxima and points of inflection and so we define

$$\begin{aligned} M^+_\lambda(\Omega) &= \{(u, v) \in M_\lambda(\Omega) \ : \ \phi''_{u,v}(1) > 0\}, \\ M^-_\lambda(\Omega) &= \{(u, v) \in M_\lambda(\Omega) \ : \ \phi''_{u,v}(1) < 0\}, \\ M^0_\lambda(\Omega) &= \{(u, v) \in M_\lambda(\Omega) \ : \ \phi''_{u,v}(1) = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and note that if $(u, v) \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$, i.e., $\phi'_{u,v}(1) = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi''_{u,v}(1) &= (2-q)(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx) \\ &- (\alpha+\beta-q)(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx) \\ &= (\alpha+\beta+2)(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx) \\ &- \lambda(q-\alpha-\beta)(\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v|^q dx). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Also, as proved in Binding, Drabek and Huang [7],Wu [24] or in Brown and Zhang [10], we have the following lemma.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that (u_0, v_0) is a local maximum or minimum for J_λ on $M_\lambda(\Omega)$. Then, if $(u_0, v_0) \notin M^0_\lambda(\Omega)$, (u_0, v_0) is a critical point of J_λ.*

3. Analysis of The Fibering Maps

It this section we give a fairly complete description of the fibering maps associated with the system. As we shall see the essential nature of the maps is determined by the signs of $(\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v|^q dx)$ and $(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx)$ we will find it useful to consider the function

$$\begin{aligned} m_{u,v}(t) &= t^{(2-q)}(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx) \\ &- t^{(\alpha+\beta-q+1)}(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, for $t > 0$, $(tu, tv) \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$ if and only if t is a solution of

$$m_{u,v}(t) = \lambda(\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v|^q dx). \tag{3.1}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} m'_{u,v}(t) &= (2-q)t^{(1-q)}(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx) \\ &- (\alpha+\beta-q+1)t^{(\alpha+\beta-q)}(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx). \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

It is easy to see that $m_{u,v}$ is a strictly increasing function for $t \geq 0$ whenever $(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx) \leq 0$ and $m_{u,v}$ is initially increasing and eventually decreasing with a single turning point when $(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx) > 0$.

Suppose $(tu, tv) \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$. It follows from (2.6) and (3.2) that $\phi''_{tu,tv}(1) = t^{q+1} m'_{u,v}(t)$ and so $(tu, tv) \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ $(M_\lambda^-(\Omega))$ provided $m'_{u,v}(t) > 0$ (< 0). We shall now describe the nature of the fibering maps for all possible signs of $(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx)$ and $(\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v|^q dx)$. If $(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx)$ ≤ 0 and $(\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v|^q dx) \leq 0$, clearly $\phi_{u,v}$ is an increasing function of t; thus in this case no multiple of (u, v) lies in $M_\lambda(\Omega)$. If $(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx) \leq 0$ and $(\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v|^q dx) > 0$, then $m_{u,v}$ is clear that there is exactly one solution of $u = 0, v = 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$. Thus there is a unique value $t(u, v) > 0$ such that $(t(u, v)u, t(u, v)v) \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$. Clearly $m'_{u,v}(t(u, v)) > 0$ and so $(t(u, v)u,$ $t(u, v)v) \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$. Thus the fibering map $\phi_{u,v}$ has a unique critical point at $t = t(u, v)$ which is a local minimum.

Suppose now $(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx) > 0$ and $(\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^q dx$ $+ \int_\Omega a(x)|v|^q dx) \leq 0$. Then $m_{u,v}$ is clear that there is exactly one positive solution of $u = 0, v = 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$. Thus there is again a unique value $t(u, v) > 0$ such that $(t(u, v)u, t(u, v)v) \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$ and since $m'_{u,v}(t(u, v)) < 0$ in this case $(t(u, v)u, t(u, v)v) \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$. Hence the fibering map $\phi_{u,v}$ has a unique critical point which is a local maximum.

Finally we consider the case $(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx) > 0$ and $(\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^q dx +$ $\int_\Omega a(x)|v|^q dx) > 0$ where the situation is more complicated. If $\lambda > 0$ is

sufficiently large, $u = 0, v = 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$ has no solution and so $\phi_{u,v}$ has no critical points - in this case $\phi_{u,v}$ is a decreasing function. Hence no multiple of (u, v) lies in $M_\lambda(\Omega)$. If, on the other hand, $\lambda > 0$ is sufficiently small, there are exactly two solutions $t_1(u, v) < t_2(u, v)$ of $u = 0, v = 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$ with $m'_{u,v}(t_1(u, v)) > 0$ and $m'_{u,v}(t_2(u, v)) < 0$. Thus there are exactly two multiples of $(u, v) \in M_\lambda(\Omega)$, namely $(t_1(u, v)u, t_1(u, v)v) \in M^+_\lambda(\Omega)$ and $(t_2(u, v)u, t_2(u, v)v) \in M^-_\lambda(\Omega)$. It follows that $\phi_{u,v}$ has exactly two critical points- a local minimum at $t = t_1(u, v)$ and a local maximum at $t = t_2(u, v)$; moreover $\phi_{u,v}$ is decreasing in $(0, t_1)$, increasing in (t_1, t_2) and decreasing in (t_2, ∞).

Lemma 3.1. *There exists $\lambda_1 > 0$ such that, when $\lambda < \lambda_1$, $\phi_{u,v}$ takes on positive values for all non-zero $(u, v) \in W$.*

Proof. If $(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha|v|^\beta dx) \le 0$, then $\phi_{u,v}(t) > 0$ for t sufficiently large. Suppose $(u, v) \in W$ and $(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha|v|^\beta dx) > 0$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} h_{u,v}(t) &= \tfrac{t^2}{2}(\textstyle\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx) \\ &- \tfrac{t^{(\alpha+\beta)}}{\alpha+\beta}(\textstyle\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha|v|^\beta dx). \end{aligned}$$

Then elementary calculus shows that $h_{u,v}$ takes on a maximum value of

$$\frac{\alpha+\beta-2}{2q}\Big\{\frac{(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx)^{(\alpha+\beta)}}{(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha|v|^\beta dx)^2}\Big\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta-2}}$$

when

$$t = t_{\max} = \Big(\frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx}{\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha dx + \int_\Omega b(x)|v|^\beta dx}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta-2}}.$$

However

$$\frac{(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx)^{(\alpha+\beta)}}{(\int_\Omega |u|^\alpha|v|^\beta dx)^2} \ge \frac{1}{S^{2(\alpha+\beta)}_{\alpha+\beta}}$$

where $S_{\alpha+\beta}$ denotes the Sobolev constant of the embedding of W into $L = L^{\alpha+\beta}(\Omega) \times L^{\alpha+\beta}(\Omega)$.

$$h_{u,v}(t_{\max}) \ge \frac{\alpha+\beta-2}{2(\alpha+\beta)}\Big(\frac{1}{||b^+||^2_\infty S^{2(\alpha+\beta)}_{\alpha+\beta}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta-2}} = \delta$$

where δ is independent of u and v.
We shall now show that there exists $\lambda_1 > 0$ such that $\phi_{u,v}(t_{\max}) > 0$, i.e.,

$$h_{u,v}(t_{\max}) - \frac{\lambda(t_{\max})^q}{q}\Big(\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v|^q dx\Big) > 0$$

for all $(u,v) \in W - \{(0,0)\}$ provided $\lambda < \lambda_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tfrac{(t_{\max})^q}{q}(\textstyle\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v|^q dx) \\
\leq\ & \tfrac{1}{q}||a||_\infty S_q^q (\tfrac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx}{\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx})^{\frac{q}{\alpha+\beta-2}} \\
& (\textstyle\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx)^{\frac{q}{2}} \\
=\ & \tfrac{1}{q}||a||_\infty S_q^q \{\tfrac{(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v|^2 dx)^{(\alpha+\beta)}}{(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx)^2}\}^{\frac{q-2}{2(\alpha+\beta-2)}} \\
=\ & \tfrac{1}{q}||a||_\infty S_q^q [\tfrac{2(\alpha+\beta)}{\alpha+\beta-2}]^{\frac{q}{2}} h_{u,v}(t_{\max})^{\frac{q}{2}} \\
=\ & c h_{u,v}(t_{\max})^{\frac{q}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

where c is independent of u and v. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{u,v}(t_{\max}) &\geq h_{u,v}(t_{\max}) - \lambda c h_{u,v}(t_{\max})^{\frac{q}{2}} \\
&= h_{u,v}(t_{\max})^{\frac{q}{2}} (h_{u,v}(t_{\max})^{\frac{2-q}{2}} - \lambda c)
\end{aligned}$$

and so, since $h_{u,v}(t_{\max}) \geq \delta$ for all $(u,v) \in W - \{(0,0)\}$, it follows that $\phi_{u,v}(t_{\max}) \geq 0$ for all non-zero (u,v) provided $\lambda < \delta^{\frac{2-q}{2}}/2c = \lambda_1$. This completes the proof. □

It follows from the above lemma that when $\lambda < \lambda_1$, $(\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v|^q dx)$
> 0 and $(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx) > 0$ then $\phi_{u,v}$ must have exactly two critical points as discussed in the remarks preceding the lemma.
Thus when $\lambda < \lambda_1$ we have obtained a complete knowledge of the number of critical points of $\phi_{u,v}$, of the intervals on which $\phi_{u,v}$ is increasing and decreasing and of the multiples of (u,v) which lie in $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ for every possible choice of signs of $(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha |v|^\beta dx)$ and $(\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v|^q dx)$. In particular we have the following result.

Corollary 3.2. *$M_\lambda^0(\Omega) = \emptyset$ when $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$.*

Corollary 3.3. *If $\lambda < \lambda_1$, then there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $J_\lambda(u,v) \geq \delta_1$ for all $(u,v) \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Consider $(u,v) \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$. Then $\phi_{u,v}$ has a positive global maximum at $t=1$ and $(\int_\Omega b(x)|u|^\alpha|v|^\beta dx) > 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u,v) &= \phi_{u,v}(1) \geq \phi_{u,v}(t_{\max}) \\ &\geq h_{u,v}(t_{\max})^{\frac{q}{2}}(h_{u,v}(t_{\max})^{\frac{2-q}{2}} - \lambda c) \\ &\geq \delta^{\frac{q}{2}}(\delta^{\frac{2-q}{2}} - \lambda c) \end{aligned}$$

and the left hand side is uniformly bounded away from 0 provided that $\lambda < \lambda_1$. □

4. Existence of Positive Solutions

In this section using the properties of fibering maps we shall give simple proofs of the existence of two positive solutions, one in $M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ and one in $M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$.

Theorem 4.1. *If $\lambda < \lambda_1$, there exists a minimizer of J_λ on $M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Since J_λ is bounded below on $M_\lambda(\Omega)$ and so on $M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$, there exists a minimizing sequence $\{(u_n,v_n)\} \subseteq M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} J_\lambda(u_n,v_n) = \inf_{(u,v)\in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u,v).$$

Since J_λ is coercive, $\{(u_n,v_n)\}$ is bounded in W. Thus we may assume, without loss of generality, that $(u_n,v_n) \to (u_0,v_0)$ in W and $(u_n,v_n) \to (u_0,v_0)$ in $L^r(\Omega)\times L^r(\Omega)$ for $1 < r < \frac{2N}{N-2}$.
If we choose $(u,v) \in W$ such that $(\int_\Omega a(x)|u|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v|^q dx) > 0$, then there exist $t_1(u,v)$ such that $(t_1(u,v)u, t_1(u,v)v) \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ and $J_\lambda(t_1(u,v)u, t_1(u,v)v) < 0$. Hence, $\inf\limits_{(u,v)\in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u,v) < 0$. By (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_n,v_n) &= (\tfrac{1}{2} - \tfrac{1}{\alpha+\beta})(\int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v_n|^2 dx) \\ &- \lambda(\tfrac{1}{\alpha+\beta} - \tfrac{1}{q})(\int_\Omega a(x)|u_n|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v_n|^q dx) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda(\tfrac{1}{q} - \tfrac{1}{\alpha+\beta})(\int_\Omega a(x)|u_n|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v_n|^q dx) = \\ &(\tfrac{1}{2} - \tfrac{1}{\alpha+\beta})(\int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v_n|^2 dx) - J_\lambda(u_n,v_n). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we see that $(\int_\Omega a(x)|u_0|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v_0|^q dx) > 0$.

Suppose $(u_n,v_n) \nrightarrow (u_0,v_0)$ in W. We shall obtain a contradiction by discussing the fibering map ϕ_{u_0,v_0}. Hence there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $(t_0u_0, t_0v_0) \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ and ϕ_{u_0,v_0} is decreasing on $(0,t_0)$ with $\phi'_{u_0,v_0}(t_0) =$

0.
Since $(u_n, v_n) \nrightarrow (u_0, v_0)$ in W,

$$(\int_\Omega |\nabla u_0|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v_0|^2 dx) < \liminf_{n\to\infty} (\int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v_n|^2 dx).$$

Thus, as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'_{u_n,v_n}(t) &= t(\textstyle\int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v_n|^2 dx) \\ &- \lambda t^{q-1}(\textstyle\int_\Omega a(x)|u_n|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v_n|^q dx) \\ &- t^{\alpha+\beta-1}(\textstyle\int_\Omega b(x)|u_n|^\alpha |v_n|^\beta dx) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'_{u_0,v_0}(t) &= t(\textstyle\int_\Omega |\nabla u_0|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v_0|^2 dx) \\ &- \lambda t^{q-1}(\textstyle\int_\Omega a(x)|u_0|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v_0|^q dx) \\ &- t^{\alpha+\beta-1}(\textstyle\int_\Omega b(x)|u_0|^\alpha |v_0|^\beta dx). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\phi'_{u_n,v_n}(t_0) > 0$ for n sufficiently large. Since $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subseteq M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$, by considering the possible fibering maps it is easy to see that $\phi'_{u_n,v_n}(t) < 0$ for $0 < t < 1$ and $\phi'_{u_n,v_n}(1) = 0$ for all n. Hence we must have $t_0 > 1$. But $(t_0u_0, t_0v_0) \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ and so

$$J_\lambda(t_0u_0, t_0v_0) < J_\lambda(u_0, v_0) < \lim_{n\to\infty} J_\lambda(u_n, v_n) = \inf_{(u,v)\in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u, v)$$

and this is a contradiction. Hence $(u_n, v_n) \to (u_0, v_0)$ in W and so

$$J_\lambda(u_0, v_0) = \lim_{n\to\infty} J_\lambda(u_n, v_n) = \inf_{(u,v)\in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u, v).$$

Thus (u_0, v_0) is a minimizer for J_λ on $M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$. □

Theorem 4.2. *If $\lambda < \lambda_1$, then exists a minimizer of J_λ on $M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.3 we have $J_\lambda(u, v) \geq \delta_1 > 0$ for all $(u, v) \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ and so $\inf_{(u,v)\in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u, v) \geq \delta_1$. Hence there exists a minimizing sequence $\{(u_n, v_n)\} \subseteq M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} J_\lambda(u_n, v_n) = \inf_{(u,v)\in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u, v) > 0.$$

As in the previous proof, since J_λ is coercive, $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$ is bounded in W and we may assume, without loss of generality, that $(u_n, v_n) \to (u_0, v_0)$ in W and $(u_n, v_n) \to (u_0, v_0)$ in $L^r(\Omega) \times L^r(\Omega)$ for $1 < r < \frac{2N}{N-2}$. By (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_n, v_n) &= (\tfrac{1}{2} - \tfrac{1}{q})(\textstyle\int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v_n|^2 dx) \\ &+ (\tfrac{1}{q} - \tfrac{1}{\alpha+\beta})(\textstyle\int_\Omega b(x)|u_n|^\alpha |v_n|^\beta dx) \end{aligned}$$

and, since $\lim_{n\to\infty} J_\lambda(u_n, v_n) > 0$ and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(\int_\Omega b(x)|u_n|^\alpha|v_n|^\beta dx) = (\int_\Omega b(x)|u_0(x)|^\alpha|v_0(x)|^\beta dx),$$

we must have that $(\int_\Omega b(x)|u_0(x)|^\alpha|v_0(x)|^\beta dx) > 0$. Hence there exist $\hat{t} > 0$ such that $(\hat{t}u_0, \hat{t}v_0) \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$.

Suppose $(u_n, v_n) \rightharpoonup (u_0, v_0)$ in W. Using the facts that

$$(\int_\Omega |\nabla u_0|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v_0|^2 dx) < \liminf_{n\to\infty}(\int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v_n|^2 dx)$$

and that, since $(u_n, v_n) \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$, $J_\lambda(u_n, v_n) \geq J_\lambda(su_n, sv_n)$ for all $s \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_\lambda(\hat{t}u_0, \hat{t}v_0) &= \tfrac{1}{2}\hat{t}^2(\textstyle\int_\Omega |\nabla u_0|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v_0|^2 dx) \\
&- \tfrac{\lambda\hat{t}^q}{q}(\textstyle\int_\Omega a(x)|u_0|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v_0|^q dx) \\
&- \tfrac{\hat{t}^{\alpha+\beta}}{\alpha+\beta}(\textstyle\int_\Omega b(x)|u_0|^\alpha|v_0|^\beta dx) \\
&< \lim_{n\to\infty}[\frac{1}{2}\hat{t}^2(\int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla v_n|^2 dx) \\
&- \tfrac{\lambda\hat{t}^q}{q}(\textstyle\int_\Omega a(x)|u_n|^q dx + \int_\Omega a(x)|v_n|^q dx) \\
&- \tfrac{\hat{t}^{\alpha+\beta}}{\alpha+\beta}(\textstyle\int_\Omega b(x)|u_n|^\alpha|v_n|^\beta dx)] \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty} J_\lambda(\hat{t}u_n, \hat{t}v_n) \\
&\leq \lim_{n\to\infty} J_\lambda(u_n, v_n) = \inf_{(u,v)\in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u, v)
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $(u_n, v_n) \to (u_0, v_0)$ in W and the proof can be completed as in the previous theorem. □

Corollary 4.3. *System (E_λ) has at least two positive solutions whenever*
$0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$.

Proof. By Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 there exist $(u^+, v^+) \in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)$ and $(u^-, v^-) \in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)$ such that

$$J_\lambda(u^+, v^+) = \inf_{(u,v)\in M_\lambda^+(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u, v)$$

and

$$J_\lambda(u^-, v^-) = \inf_{(u,v)\in M_\lambda^-(\Omega)} J_\lambda(u, v).$$

Moreover $J_\lambda(u^\pm, v^\pm) = J_\lambda(|u^\pm|, |v^\pm|)$ and $(|u^\pm|, |v^\pm|) \in M_\lambda^\pm(\Omega)$ and so we may assume $u^\pm \geq 0$ and $v^\pm \geq 0$. By Lemma 2.2 $(u^\pm, v^\pm)$ are critical points of J_λ on W and hence are weak solutions (and so by standard regularity results classical solutions) of (E_λ). Finally, by the Harnack

inequality due to Trudinger [21], we obtain that $(u^{\pm}, v^{\pm})$ are positive solutions of (E_λ). □

References

[1] G. A. Afrouzi, S.Ala, S.S. Kazemipoor, *Existence of positive solutions for a class of P-Laplacian systems*.International Journal of Noninear Science 8(4):(2009),424-427.

[2] A. Ahammou, *Positive radial solutionsof nonlinear elliptic system*, New York J. Math. 7(2001) 267-280.

[3] A. Ambrosetti, G. J. Azorero, I. Peral, *Multiplicity results for some nonlinear elliptic equations*, J. Funct. Anal. 137(1996) 219-242.

[4] A. Ambrosetti, H. Brezis, G. Cerami, *Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems*, J. Funct. Anal. 122(1994) 519-543.

[5] K. Adriouch, A. EL Hamidi, *The Nehari manifold for systems of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. 64(2006) 2149-2164.

[6] C. O. Alves, D. C. de Morais Filho, M. A. S. Souto, *On systems of elliptic equations involving subcritical or critical Sobolev exponents*, Nonlinear Anal, 42(2000) 771-787.

[7] P. A. Binding, P. Drabek and Y. X. Huang; *On Neumann boundary valur problems for some quasilinear elliptic equations*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, 1997(1997), no. 5, 1-11.

[8] Y. Bozhkov, E. Mitidieri, *Existence of multiple solutions for quasilinear systems via fibering method*, J. Differential Equations 190(2003) 239-267.

[9] K. J. Brown and T. F. Wu, *A fibrering map approach to a semilinear elliptic boundary value problem*, Electron. J. Differential Equations (2007), no. 69, 1-9.

[10] K. J. Brown and Y. Zhang, *The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic problem with a sign changing weight function*, J. Differential Equations, 193(2003) 481-499.

[11] P. Clément, D. G. de Figueriredo, E. Mitidieri, *Positive solutions of semilinear elliptic systems*, Commun. Partial Differential Equations 17(1992) 923-940.

[12] D. G. de Figueiredo, P. Felmer, *On superquadratic elliptc systems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 343(1994) 99-116.

[13] D. G. de Figueiredo, J. P. Gossez and P. Ubilla, *Local superlinearity and sublinearity for indefinite semilinear elliptic problems*, J. Funct. Anal., 199(2003) 452-467.

[14] P. Drabek and S. I. Pohozaev; *Positive solutions for the p-Laplacian: application of the fibering method*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sect A, 127(1997) 703-726.

[15] A. EL Hamidi, *Multiple solutions with changing sign energy to a nonlinear elliptic equation*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 3(2004) 253-265.

[16] A. EL Hamidi, *Existence results to elliptic systems with nonstandard growth conditions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 300(2004) 30-42.

[17] Y. Ll·yasov,*On non-local existence results for elliptic operators with convex-concave nonlinearities*, Nonlinear Analysis, 61(2005) 211-236.

[18] Z. Nehari,*On a class of nonlinear second-order differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 95(1960) 101-123.

[19] M. Squassina, *An eigenvalue problem for elliptic systems*, New York J. Math 6(2000) 95-106.

[20] G. Tarantello, *On nonhomogeneous elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Lineairé 9(3) (1992) 281-304.
[21] N. S. Trudinger, *On Harnack type inequalities and their application to quasilinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Applied Math. 20 (1967) 721-747.
[22] J. Vélin, *Existence results for some nonlinear elliptic system with lack of compactness*, Nonlinear Anal. 52(2003) 1017-1034.
[23] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Bikhäuser, Boston, 1996.
[24] T.F. Wu, *Multiplicity results for a semilinear elliptic equation involving sign-changing weight function*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 39 (2009) 995-1012.
[25] T. F. Wu, *On semilinear elliptic equations involving concave-convex nonlinearities and sign-changing weight function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 318(2006) 253-270.
[26] T. F. Wu, *The Nehari manifold for a semilinear elliptic system involving sign-changing weight functions*, Nonlinear Analysis (2007).

Seyyed Sadegh Kazemipoor
Department of Mathematical Analysis, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic.
E-mail address: S.kazemipoor@umz.ac.ir

Mahboobeh Zakeri
Department of Mathematical Analysis, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic.
E-mail address: mzakeri.math@gmail.com